

## Judge's Name:

## **Lightning Talk Competition Chair Rubric**

<b>Abstract Author:</b>	
University/Institute:	EXAMPLE
Submission Title:	

## **Scoring:**

1	2	3	4	5
Does not meet expectations	Demonstrates competency but has significant weaknesses	Good but has some flaws	Very good, only minor flaws	Excellent

ABSTRACT AND SLIDE EVALUATION	
Provided a clear background and objectives of the research.	/5
Provided a clear, but brief, description of the methods and strategies used	/5
to address the research hypothesis/question.	
Provided impact and significance of the research.	/5
The abstract was organized and had a logical flow that is easy to follow	/5
The PowerPoint slide is clear, readable, and easy to interpret.	/5
The PowerPoint slide is creative and enhances the narrative and	/5
presentation of the research.	
Score	/30